



ІНСТИТУТ  
ФІЗИКИ  
КОНДЕНСОВАНИХ  
СИСТЕМ

До питання про коректність рівняння Лоренца-Дірака

Ю.Г.Яремко

**Анотація.** Для системи, що складається з точкового безспінового заряду та генерованого ним електромагнетного поля, побудовані збережувані величини, що відповідають симетрії задачі відносно перетворень з групи Пуанкаре. Ці збережувані величини виражені в термінах змінних частинок. Виявилось, що рівняння Лоренца-Дірака суперечить диференційному наслідкові інтеграла руху центра мас, який виникає із інваріантності системи відносно перетворень Лоренца.

**On the validity of the Lorentz-Dirac equation**

Yu.Yaremko

**Abstract.** Ten conserved quantities corresponding to the symmetry of the composite system of point-like charged particle and electromagnetic field under Poincaré group are expressed in terms of particle variables. It is shown that the Lorentz-Dirac equation contradicts the differential consequence of "center-of-mass" conserved quantity which arises from the invariance of the system under Lorentz transformation.

ICMP-01-22E

Yurij Yaremko\*

ON THE VALIDITY OF THE LORENTZ-DIRAC EQUATION

Подається в J.Phys.A  
Submitted to J.Phys.A

\*Institute for Condensed Matter Physics  
of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
1 Svientsitskii St., UA-79011 Lviv, Ukraine

## 1. Introduction

The Lorentz-Dirac equation is an equation of motion for a charged particle under the influence of an external force as well as its own electromagnetic field. The particle's world line is described by the functions  $z^\alpha(\tau)$  which give the particle's coordinates as functions of proper time  $\tau$ . We denote  $u^\alpha(\tau) = dz^\alpha(\tau)/d\tau$  the four-velocity, and  $a^\alpha(\tau) = du^\alpha(\tau)/d\tau$  is the four-acceleration. The Lorentz-Dirac equation is written as

$$ma^\alpha = F_{\text{ext}}^\alpha + \frac{2}{3}e^2 (\dot{a}^\alpha - u^\alpha(a_\mu a^\mu)), \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is the particle's rest mass,  $e$  its charge,  $F_{\text{ext}}^\alpha$  the external force, and  $\dot{a}^\alpha(\tau) = da^\alpha(\tau)/d\tau$ . The third term takes into account the energy loss due to radiation, the second one follows from a proper relativistic treatment given first by Schott (1915), and is called the "Schott term". If the first term is the Lorentz force, the Schott term is necessary in order to preserve equality  $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$ .

The problems of runaway solutions (where acceleration grows exponentially with time) and pre-acceleration (when acceleration begins to increase prior to time at which the external force switches on) occur in this theory [1]. They cast a serious doubt on the validity of the Lorentz-Dirac equation.

The law of conservation of the total four-momentum of composite (particle + field) system provides the foundation for Dirac's derivation [2] of radiation-reaction force. The verification of energy conservation is not a trivial matter, since the Lorentz-Dirac equation is derived with the help of a mass renormalization procedure, which involves the manipulation of the divergent self-energy of a point charge.

There are many derivations which are patterned after Dirac's classical paper [2] (see, for instance, [1,3,4]). Although they differ from it in their technical aspects, all the derivations involve the Taylor expansion of a finite sized charged sphere in which the first two terms lead to the electromagnetic self-energy and the Abraham radiation reaction four-vector, respectively. Following ref.[2], the authors enclose a world line within a thin world tube and calculate an electromagnetic flow across this surface per unit proper time. In fact, they calculate the time derivative of energy-momentum four-vector. My main objective is to calculate how much electromagnetic-field momentum flows across hyperplane  $\Sigma_t = \{y \in M: y^0 = t\}$  at fixed instant time  $t$ . Thanks to such a computation we make sense of the so-called "mass renormalization" procedure and the separation of "structure-independent" Schott term. These methods are very important to obtain the Lorentz-Dirac equation (1).

The physical meaning of a decomposition of electromagnetic field's stress-energy tensor into radiative and bound component will be fully elucidated too. The Schott term in the Lorentz-Dirac equation is originated from the bound component of the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor density. Teitelboim shows [3] that due to volume integration of this component we obtain an electromagnetic four-momentum carried by the particle around it. In fact, by this he means the particle four-momentum

$$p^\mu = mu^\mu - \frac{2}{3}e^2 a^\mu \quad (2)$$

which contains, apart from the usual velocity term, also a contribution from the acceleration when the particle is charged. We substantiate Teitelboim's concept so far as particle's electromagnetic "fur" is concerned.

The main goal of present paper is to check up the consistency of Lorentz-Dirac equation with fundamental principles like energy-momentum conservation and the conservation of total angular momentum. By "fundamental principles" are meant the ten conserved quantities corresponding to Poincaré-invariance of composite particle+field system.

Of course, the divergent self-energy term arises unavoidably whenever one introduces point charges in a classical electrodynamics. Following ref. [1], we assume that an intrinsic structure of a charged particle is beyond the limits of classical theory (except that its "radius" does not vanish, though is too small to be observed). For this reason the mass renormalization is not necessary.

Our emphasis will be on rigorous calculations and exact solutions based on standard classical electrodynamics supplemented with Rohrlich's heuristic assumptions so far as the dynamics of a single charged particle are concerned [1, Sect.6-2,6-4].

## 2. Energy-momentum conservation

In this section we check a balance between electromagnetic-field momentum and mechanical momentum of an arbitrary moving particle. We only assume that the particle is asymptotically free at the remote past and at the distant future. We suppose "that action of the force is reasonably limited in space-time" [1].

### 2.1. Preliminaries

We choose metric tensor  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$  for Minkowski space  $M$ . We use the Heaviside-Lorentz system of units with the velocity of light

$c = 1$ . Summation over repeated indices is understood throughout the paper; Greek indices run from 0 to 3, and Latin indices from 1 to 3. The particle trajectory

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ t &\mapsto (t, z^i(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

is meant as a local section of trivial bundle  $(\mathcal{M}, i, \mathbb{R})$  where the projection

$$\begin{aligned} i &: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (y^0, y^i) &\mapsto y^0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

defines the instant form of dynamics [5].

We denote  $u^0 := \gamma$  and  $u^i := \gamma v^i$ ,  $v^i = dz^i(t)/dt$ , the components of particle's four-velocity; its four-acceleration is  $a^\mu = \gamma du^\mu/dt$  where factor  $\gamma := 1/\sqrt{1-v^2}$ . We shall use the particle's momentarily comoving Lorentz frame (MCLF) where particle is momentarily at the rest at the instant time  $t$ . The Lorentz matrix

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha_{\alpha'}\| = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} & \frac{v_l}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \\ \hline \frac{v^k}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} & \delta^k_l + \varphi(v^2)v^k v_l \end{array} \right), \quad (5)$$

$\varphi(v^2) = v^{-2}(\gamma - 1)$ , determines the transformation to MCLF where four-velocity  $u^{\alpha'} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  and four-acceleration  $a^{\alpha'} = (0, a^i)$ . The components  $a^{i'} = \Lambda^{i'}_{\alpha} a^\alpha$  constitute three-vector  $\mathbf{a}$  which is (non-trivial) spatial part of the particle acceleration taken in MCLF.

We suppose that the components of total four-momentum of our particle+field system are

$$p^\nu(t) = mu^\nu(t) + P \int_{\Sigma_t} d\sigma_\mu T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (6)$$

where  $d\sigma_\mu$  is the vectorial surface element on a space-like hypersurface  $\Sigma_t$  which intersects a trajectory at the point  $(t, \mathbf{z}(t))$ . (By  $\Sigma_t$  we take a fibre [6] of "instant" bundle (4) over  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .) By  $T^{\mu\nu}$  we denote the components of the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor density

$$T^{\mu\nu} = f^{\mu\lambda} f^\nu_{\lambda} - 1/4 \eta^{\mu\nu} f^{\kappa\lambda} f_{\kappa\lambda}. \quad (7)$$

The tensor has an  $r^{-4}$  singularity on a particle trajectory. In eq.(6) capital letter  $P$  denotes the principal value of the singular integral, defined by removing from  $\Sigma_t$  a sphere  $K(0, \varepsilon)$  around the particle and then passing to the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

## 2.2. Coordinate system

An appropriate coordinate system for flat spacetime is the key to the problem. The structure of (retarded) Lienard-Wiechert potential motivates the introduction of a *coordinate system centered on an accelerated world line*. A wide class of such coordinate systems was considered by Newman and Unti [7]. The set of curvilinear coordinates for flat spacetime  $\mathcal{M}$  involves the retarded time, say  $u$ , and the retarded distance  $r$ . The former is the root of algebraic equation

$$(y^0 - u)^2 = \sum_i (y^i - q^i(u))^2, \quad (8)$$

which is related to the observation time  $t$  by the causality condition  $t - u > 0$ . The latter is the distance between an observer event  $y$  and the particle, as measured at the retarded time in the MCLF:

$$r(y) = -\eta_{\alpha\beta} (y^\alpha - q^\alpha(u)) u^\beta(u). \quad (9)$$

We start with the following coordinate transformation:

$$y^0 = u + r \Lambda^0_{\alpha'} n^{\alpha'}, \quad y^i = z^i(u) + r \Lambda^i_{\alpha'} n^{\alpha'}, \quad (10)$$

which is a specific example of Newman and Unti class of coordinate systems, presented in ref.[4]. The null vector  $n := (1, \mathbf{n})$  has the components  $(1, \cos\phi \sin\vartheta, \sin\phi \sin\vartheta, \cos\vartheta)$ ;  $\vartheta$  and  $\phi$  are two polar angles.

To adopt these curvilinear coordinates to the instant form of dynamics (4), we replace the retarded distance  $r$  by the expression

$$r = \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2}}{1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})} (t - u), \quad (11)$$

where  $t$  is the observation time. On rearrangement, the final coordinate transformation  $(y^\alpha) \mapsto (t, u, \vartheta, \phi)$  looks as follows:

$$y^0 = t, \quad y^i = q^i(u) + \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2}}{1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})} (t - u) \Lambda^i_{\alpha'} n^{\alpha'}. \quad (12)$$

Since the bundle (4) is trivial [6], we consider space-time  $\mathcal{M}$  as a disjoint union of fibres  $i^{-1}(t) := \Sigma_t$  parametrized by the coordinates  $(u, \vartheta, \phi)$ . This coordinate system is global because different  $\Sigma$ 's do not intersect.

### 2.3. Electromagnetic field's stress-energy tensor

The components of Lienard-Wiechert potential  $\hat{A} = A_\alpha dy^\alpha$  depend on the state of the particle's motion at the retarded time only:

$$A_\alpha = e \frac{u_\alpha(u)}{r(y)}. \quad (13)$$

Here  $u_\alpha(u)$  are the components of velocity one-form  $\hat{u}$ . The electromagnetic field is written as follows [4]

$$\hat{f} = \frac{e}{r^2} [\hat{u} + r(a_k \hat{u} + \hat{a})] \wedge \hat{k}, \quad (14)$$

where one-form  $\hat{k} = k_\alpha dy^\alpha$  has the components  $k_\alpha = \eta_{\alpha\beta} k^\beta$ ,  $k^\beta = \Lambda^{\beta\gamma} n^\gamma$ , and scalar  $a_k = k_\alpha a^\alpha$ . To express the components  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  in terms of curvilinear coordinates (12) we substitute the right side of eq.(11) for the retarded distance  $r$  in this expression.

It is straightforward to substitute these components into eq.(7) to calculate the electromagnetic field's stress-energy tensor. Following ref.[3], we present  $T^{\alpha\beta}$  as a sum of radiative and bound components,

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = T_{\text{rad}}^{\alpha\beta} + T_{\text{bnd}}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi T_{\text{rad}}^{00} &= \frac{e^2}{(t-u)^2} \frac{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^4}{(1-v^2)^2} (\mathbf{a}^2 - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n})^2), \\ 4\pi T_{\text{rad}}^{0i} &= \frac{e^2}{(t-u)^2} \frac{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^3}{(1-v^2)^{3/2}} (\mathbf{a}^2 - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n})^2) (v^{i'} + n^{i'}) \Lambda^{i'} \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

are the radiative components, and

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi T_{\text{bnd}}^{00} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{(t-u)^4} \frac{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^4}{(1-v^2)^3} [1 - 2(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})^2 + v^2] + \\ &+ 2 \frac{e^2}{(t-u)^3} \frac{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^4}{(1-v^2)^{5/2}} [(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})], \quad (17) \\ 4\pi T_{\text{bnd}}^{0i} &= \frac{e^2}{(t-u)^4} \frac{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^4}{(1-v^2)^{5/2}} [v^{i'} - (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})n^{i'}] \Lambda^{i'} + \\ &+ \frac{e^2}{(t-u)^3} \frac{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^3}{(1-v^2)^2} \left( [(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]v^{i'} + \right. \\ &+ \left. [(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n}) - 2(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n})]n^{i'} + [1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]a^{i'} \right) \Lambda^{i'} \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

are the bound components. The results coincide with the components  $T^{0\alpha}$  obtained in [4, eqs.(5.4),(5.5)] where  $k^\alpha$  should be replaced by  $\Lambda^\alpha_{\alpha'} n^{\alpha'}$  and the right side of eq.(11) should be substituted for the retarded distance.

### 2.4. Electromagnetic field momentum

Now we calculate the electromagnetic field momentum

$$p_{\text{em}}^\mu = \int_{\Sigma_t} d\sigma_0 T^{0\mu}, \quad (19)$$

where an integration hypersurface  $\Sigma_t = \{y \in M: y^0 = t\}$  is a surface of constant  $t$ . The surface element is given by  $d\sigma_0 = \sqrt{-g} du d\vartheta d\phi$  where

$$\sqrt{-g} = \frac{(1-v^2)^2}{[1 + (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{n})]^3} (t-u)^2 \sin\vartheta \quad (20)$$

is the determinant of metric tensor of Minkowski space viewed in curvilinear coordinates (12). The angular integration can be handled via the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \sin\vartheta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi n^i &= 0, \\ \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \sin\vartheta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi n^i n^j &= \frac{4\pi}{3} \delta^{ij}, \\ \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \sin\vartheta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi n^i n^j n^k &= 0. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

The calculation reveals that the decomposition of stress-energy tensor into radiative and bound components is meaningful. Indeed, radiative component (16) scales as  $r^{-2}$ ; its contribution is regular:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{rad}}^0 &= \int_{y^0=t} d\sigma_0 T_{\text{rad}}^{00} = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u), \\ p_{\text{rad}}^i &= \int_{y^0=t} d\sigma_0 T_{\text{rad}}^{0i} = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u) v^i(u). \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

The radiative momentum is accumulated: its amount in  $\Sigma_t$  at fixed time  $t$  depends on all previous motion of a source. While the bound four-momentum depends on the state of particle's motion at the observation

time only! The matter is that the total (retarded) time derivatives arise from angular integration:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{bnd}}^0 &= P \int_{y^0=t} d\sigma_0 T_{\text{bnd}}^{00} = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \left[ \frac{1}{(t-u)^2} \left( -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{1-v^2} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{t-u} \frac{2(\mathbf{v}\dot{\mathbf{v}})}{(1-v^2)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{3} e^2 \lim_{u \rightarrow t} \left( -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{1-v^2(u)} \right) \frac{1}{t-u}; \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{bnd}}^i &= P \int_{y^0=t} d\sigma_0 T_{\text{bnd}}^{0i} = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \left[ \frac{1}{(t-u)^2} \frac{v^i}{1-v^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{t-u} \left( \frac{\dot{v}^i}{1-v^2} + \frac{2(\mathbf{v}\dot{\mathbf{v}})v^i}{(1-v^2)^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{3} e^2 \lim_{u \rightarrow t} \frac{v^i(u)}{1-v^2(u)} \frac{1}{t-u}. \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

This is explained by Teitelboim in ref.[3, pg.1581]:”It is of interest to emphasize that the tensor  $T_{\text{bnd}}^{\mu\nu}$  and, in particular, its components  $T_{\text{bnd}}^{0\mu}$ , which are to be interpreted as the negatives of the energy and momentum densities in the rest frame, are retarded functions. Thus a change in the energy-momentum density on  $\sigma(\tau)$  can be caused only by a change of the kinematics of the charge prior to  $\tau^1$ . Nevertheless, if one adds all the contributions from the various volume elements, the net result depends only on a neighborhood of the present event  $z(\tau)$ . Thus it looks as if the charge carried a rigid electromagnetic cloud, but a truly rigid electromagnetic configuration would contradict the finite speed of propagation of the interactions.”

From the formal point of view the bound components (23) and (24), involved in particle four-momentum, are divergent. We arrive at the gap between structureless point particles and finite field energies. In Rohrlich’s opinion [1], it is impossible to fill in the gap using the methods of classical electrodynamics. A higher-level theory is necessary. For this reason we do not make any assumptions about the particle structure, its charge distribution, and its size. We assume only that the particle four-momentum is finite. To substantiate our point of view we are going to analyze commonly used manipulations with divergent terms (23) and (24).

<sup>1</sup>The author deals with covariant proper time  $\tau$ ;  $\sigma(\tau)$  is the spacelike surface (26) which intersects a world line at point  $z(\tau) = (t, \mathbf{z}(t))$ .

## 2.5. Schott term

We face the problem how the Schott term arises due to integration of the bound component of energy-stress tensor. One usually works in frame of covariant approach where the proper time  $\tau$  is used as an evolution parameter. Since  $d\tau = \sqrt{1-v^2(t)}dt$ , we substitute small parameter  $\varepsilon$  for  $\sqrt{1-v^2(t)}(t-u)$  in eqs.(23) and (24). In terms of covariant coordinates the components of singular four-momentum involve the term

$$\frac{2}{3} e^2 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{u^\mu(\tau - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}. \quad (25)$$

(Only zeroth component has the additional term.) We are interested in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and, therefore, we expand this singularity in the immediate vicinity of world line. In Taylor expansion of eq.(25) the structureless term is proportional to particle four-acceleration.<sup>2</sup> It is the well-known Schott term involved in the Lorentz-Dirac equation (1).

## 2.6. Renormalization of mass

It is often assumed that the particle is a ”matter” core ”dressed” in the electromagnetic ”cloud”. The divergent term — the first term of the Taylor expansion of (25) — should be added to a rest mass of ”matter” core, so that this already renormalized mass is meaningful.

We have a problem how such a renormalization procedure for bound four-momentum with components (23) and (24) should be defined. Indeed, zeroth component contains the term which is not proportional to zeroth component of four-velocity while the spatial components are proportional to  $u^i$ . The reason is that we use surface  $\Sigma_t = \{y \in M: y^0 = t\}$  as an integration hypersurface in eq.(19). Rohrlich [1] and Teitelboim [3] suggest that the momentarily comoving Lorentz frame of the charge plays a privileged role in the definition of the energy momentum corresponding to the bound part of the energy-momentum tensor. The authors use the spacelike surface  $\sigma_t$  defined by

$$u_\mu(\tau) (y^\mu - z^\mu(\tau)) = 0 \quad (26)$$

as the integration hypersurface. Our aim is to make strict sense of this ”privileged role”.

So, we have to calculate the volume integral (19) over tilted hyperplanes. To apply our previous results we make such Lorentz transformation  $\Omega$  that a tilted hyperplane becomes  $\Sigma_{t'} = \{y \in M: y^{0'} = t'\}$ . After

<sup>2</sup>One usually assumes some radius of the particle and proclaims the structure-independent terms as ones of true physical meaning.

trivial calculations we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\text{bnd}}^\mu &= \int_{\sigma_t} d\sigma_\nu T_{\text{bnd}}^{\nu\mu} \\
&= \int_{y^{0'}=t'} d\sigma_{0'} T_{\text{bnd}}^{0'\alpha'} \Omega_{\alpha'}{}^\mu \\
&= \Omega^\mu{}_{\alpha'} p_{\text{bnd}}^{\alpha'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Using  $\Omega^\mu{}_{\alpha'} = \Lambda^\mu{}_{\alpha'}$ , where matrix elements  $\Lambda^\mu{}_{\alpha'}$  are given by eq.(5), we arrive at the frame in which the particle is momentarily at rest at time  $t$ . In MCLF particle velocity  $u' = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  and the spatial components (24) of bound four-momentum vanish:

$$p_{\text{bnd}}^{0'} = \lim_{u' \rightarrow t'} \frac{1}{2} e^2 \frac{1}{t' - u'}, \quad p_{\text{bnd}}^{i'} = 0. \tag{28}$$

As usual, the divergent quantity  $e^2/2\varepsilon$  is linked together with the mechanical "matter" mass of a particle, so that renormalized mass is considered to be finite.

We see that the computation of the rate of electromagnetic-field momentum which flows across all the hyperplane  $y^0 = \text{const}$  does not contradict the usual approach in which one calculates an electromagnetic flow across a thin tube around world line per unit proper time. But it allows to explain the meaning of manipulations with divergent terms such as "renormalization" of mass and separation of "structure-independent" Schott term.

### 3. Total angular momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field

The charged particle cannot be separated from its bound electromagnetic "cloud". We would like to construct particle four-momentum in terms of its state functions (velocity, acceleration etc.). Usual approach based on the "renormalization" of mass and separation of "structure-independent" Schott term leads to the Teitelboim's formula (2). This approach is mathematically incorrect. To obtain an additional information we calculate the conserved quantities corresponding to the invariance of the theory under proper homogeneous Lorentz transformations.

We are now concerned with total angular momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field [1]:

$$M_{\text{em}}^{\mu\nu} = \int_{\Sigma_t} d\sigma_0 (y^\mu T^{0\nu} - y^\nu T^{0\mu}). \tag{29}$$

Conservation of the space part  $M_{\text{em}}^{ij}$  of the tensor  $M_{\text{em}}^{\mu\nu}$  is due to invariance under space rotations. Conservation of the mixed space-time components,  $M_{\text{em}}^{0i}$ , expresses the center-of-mass theorem. It takes place due to invariance under Lorentz transformations.

We substitute eq.(15) and eq.(12) into eq.(29) to calculate the electromagnetic field's angular momentum tensor. Routine scrupulous calculation reveals the (divergent) components of bound four-momentum (23) and (24) in the proper places! The components of the angular momentum tensor are as follows:

$$J_{\text{em}}^k = \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} M_{\text{em}}^{ij} = \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} z^i(t) p_{\text{bnd}}^j + \tag{30}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u) \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} z^i(u) v^j(u) + \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} v^i(u) a^j(u),$$

$$K_{\text{em}}^i = -M_{\text{em}}^{0i} = -t p_{\text{bnd}}^i + z^i(t) p_{\text{bnd}}^0 + \tag{31}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u) [z^i(u) - v^i(u)u] + \frac{4}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \frac{v^i(u) (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v})}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2}}.$$

This result reinforces our conviction that the bound momentum and its "matter" mechanical counterpart constitute the four-momentum  $p_{\text{part}}$  of charged structureless particle.

Taking into account the mechanical part of angular four-momentum, we obtain the following ten conserved quantities which are due to the invariance of our composite particle+field system under infinitesimal transformations of Poincaré group:

$$p^0 = p_{\text{part}}^0 + \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u), \tag{32}$$

$$p^i = p_{\text{part}}^i + \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u) v^i(u), \tag{33}$$

$$J^k = \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} z^i(t) p_{\text{part}}^j + \tag{34}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u) \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} z^i(u) v^j(u) + \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \varepsilon^k{}_{ij} v^i(u) a^j(u),$$

$$K^i = -t p_{\text{part}}^i + z^i(t) p_{\text{part}}^0 + \tag{35}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \mathbf{a}^2(u) [z^i(u) - v^i(u)u] + \frac{4}{3} e^2 \int_{-\infty}^t du \frac{v^i(u) (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v})}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2}}.$$

Thus we finally arrive at the natural decomposition of the conserved quantities into particle component and radiative component. The former

depends on the instant characteristics of charged particle while the latter is accumulated with time.

To construct the particle motion equation we only need to consider the vicinity of world line. We calculate how much electromagnetic-field momentum and angular momentum flow across hypersurface  $\Sigma_t$ . We can do it at a time  $t + \Delta t$ . We demand that change in these quantities be balanced by a corresponding change in the particle's ones, so that the total energy-momentum ( $p^0, \mathbf{p}$ ) and angular momentum ( $\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{K}$ ) are properly conserved. Via the differentiation of eqs.(32)-(35) we arrive at the following system of differential equations:

$$\dot{p}_{\text{part}}^0 = -\frac{2}{3}e^2 \mathbf{a}^2(t), \quad (36)$$

$$\dot{p}_{\text{part}}^i = -\frac{2}{3}e^2 \mathbf{a}^2(t)v^i(t), \quad (37)$$

$$\varepsilon^k{}_{ij}v^i(t)p_{\text{part}}^j = -\frac{2}{3}e^2 \varepsilon^k{}_{ij}v^i(t)a^j(t), \quad (38)$$

$$p_{\text{part}}^i - v^i(t)p_{\text{part}}^0 = \frac{4}{3}e^2 \frac{v^i(t)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v})}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2}}. \quad (39)$$

Its solution is a motion with constant velocity where  $p_{\text{part}}^\mu$  do not change.

The problem of particle motion in presence of external force requires careful consideration. We do not know what is the rate of external device in the balance condition of total angular momentum ( $\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{K}$ ). (Considering the energy-momentum we use the Lorentz force, or capacity for non-electromagnetic force.)

Of course, one would prefer an expression which explains how four-momentum of charged particle depends on its velocity and acceleration etc. It is obvious that this expression should satisfy the differential consequences of the total angular momentum. To check up the Teitelboim's expression we substitute the right side of eq.(2) for  $p_{\text{part}}$  in eqs.(38) and (39). We see that eq.(38) is satisfied identically while eq.(39) is not fulfilled. Therefore, Teitelboim's expression (2) contradicts the differential consequence of "center-of-mass" conserved quantity.

## 4. Conclusions

We can briefly summarize our conclusions as follows:

- a charged particle can not be separated from its bound electromagnetic "fur", so that the four-momentum of the particle is the sum of the mechanical momentum and the electromagnetic bound four-momentum;

- Teitelboim's expression for particle four-momentum as a linear function of particle's velocity and acceleration contradicts the structure of center-of-mass conserved quantity originated from an invariance of our composite system under Lorentz transformations.

Moreover, the system of six linear equations (38) and (39) in variables  $p_{\text{part}}^\mu$  does not possess solution whenever particle's motion is accelerated. Does it mean that there is no expression of type (2) within an interaction area? The problem requires careful consideration. Worthy of note that in the absence of an external force the motion of classical point charge satisfies the law of inertia (Newton's first law).

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof.V.Tretyak and Dr.A.Duviryak for helpful discussions and critical comments.

## References

1. F.Rohrlich, *Classical Charged Particles* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1990).
2. P.A.M.Dirac, Classical theory of radiating electron, *Proc.Roy.Soc. London* **A167**, 148-68 (1938).
3. C.Teitelboim, Splitting of the Maxwell tensor: radiation reaction without advanced fields, *Phys.Rev.D* **1** N6 (1970), pp.1572-82.
4. E.Poisson, An introduction to the Lorentz-Dirac equation, *Prepr. gr-qc/9912045*, 1999.
5. R.P.Gaida, Yu.B.Kluchkovsky, and V.I.Tretyak, Forms of relativistic dynamics in classical Lagrangian description of particle system, *Theor.Math.Phys.* **55**, 372 (1983).
6. D.J.Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, (Lecture Notes Series **142**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989).
7. E.T.Newman and T.W.J.Unti, A class of null flat-space coordinate systems, *J.Math.Phys.* **4** 1467-69 (1963).

Препринти Інституту фізики конденсованих систем НАН України розповсюджуються серед наукових та інформаційних установ. Вони також доступні по електронній комп'ютерній мережі на WWW-сервері інституту за адресою <http://www.icmp.lviv.ua/>

The preprints of the Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine are distributed to scientific and informational institutions. They also are available by computer network from Institute's WWW server (<http://www.icmp.lviv.ua/>)

Юрій Григорович Яремко

До питання про коректність рівняння Лоренца-Дірака

Роботу отримано 30 листопада 2001 р.

Затверджено до друку Вченою радою ІФКС НАН України

Рекомендовано до друку семінаром відділу теорії металів та сплавів

Виготовлено при ІФКС НАН України

© Усі права застережені