Препринти Інституту фізики конденсованих систем НАН України розповсюджуються серед наукових та інформаційних установ. Вони також доступні по електронній комп'ютерній мережі на WWW-сервері інституту за адресою http://www.icmp.lviv.ua/

The preprints of the Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine are distributed to scientific and informational institutions. They also are available by computer network from Institute's WWW server (http://www.icmp.lviv.ua/)

Національна академія наук України



ICMP-10-11E

T.S.Mysakovych

INVESTIGATION OF Cu ADSORPTION ON Si(001) SURFACE USING CLUSTER MODELS

Мисакович Тарас Степанович

Дослідження адсорбції Си на поверхні SI(001) за допомогою кластерних моделей

Роботу отримано 30 листопада 2010 р.

Затверджено до друку Вченою радою ІФКС НАН України

Рекомендовано до друку відділом квантової статистики

Виготовлено при ІФКС НАН України © Усі права застережені

УДК: 544.723

PACS: 68.43.-h

Дослідження адсорбції Си на поверхні Si(001) за допомогою кластерних моделей

Т.С.Мисакович

Анотація. За допомогою розрахунків методом функціоналу густини досліджено адсорбцію міді на поверхні Si(001), покритій воднем. Поверхня моделюється за допомогою кластерів. Виявлено можливі місця адсорбції міді та розраховано енергії адсорбції. Приведено довжини зв'язків та заряди для всіх знайдених місць адсорбції.

Investigation of Cu adsorption on Si(001) surface using cluster models

T.S.Mysakovych

Abstract. Density functional theory calculations have been used to investigate the adsorption of copper atoms on the H-passivated Si(001) surface. The surface is modeled using the cluster approximation. The possible sites for the copper adsorption are revealed and the adsorption energy is calculated. The bond lengths and Mulliken population analysis are reported for all considered sites of adsorption.

Подається в Журнал фізичних досліджень Submitted to Journal of Physical Studies

© Інститут фізики конденсованих систем 2010 Institute for Condensed Matter Physics 2010

1. Introduction

The silicon surface is one of the most extensively studied systems in surface science due to its great technological importance for semiconductor devices. Wet chemical etching of silicon is an important method in the fabrication of micro-electromechanics systems. Many experimental and theoretical works have been done to reveal the structure of Si(001) surface, which undergoes surface reconstruction when the surface silicon atoms dimerize to form an energetically more favorable configuration. Generally, it is adopted that these surface dimers are asymmetric, although some ab initio calculations lead to the conclusion that symmetric case takes place [1, 2]. The Si surface is nearly fully terminated by Si-H bonds during wet etching and the hydrogenated Si clusters can also be regarded as a model of porous silicon. The adsorption of hydrogen onto Si(001) follows various stages, adsorption of a full monolayer leads to the saturated, monohydride surface [3], for a review, see [4].

Cu has a dramatic impact on the etch rate and the silicon surface morphology. As compared to other metal impurities, copper seems to have a particular preference to adsorb on the H-terminated surface during etching. In [5] the invetigation of a surface morphology, electrophysical characteristics and gas sensitivity of the metal-silicon structures with a surface layer of the porous silicon modified by copper were carried out, such investigations are important for gas sensor applications. There are a limited number of theoretical studies of the interaction of copper and silicon in the presence of hydrogen [6–8]. In this work, we investigate copper adsorption on the hydrogen-terminated silicon surface using cluster model and analyze bond lenght and charge distribution in the system.

2. Method and results

Cluster models are frequently used for studying different aspects of physics and chemistry of surfaces. The clusters Si_9H_{12} , Si_15H_{21} are often used as a model of Si surface [9, 10]. In our calculations we use hydrogenated cluster with one surface dimer Si_9H_{14} (see fig. 1). We also considered clusters with two surface dimers $Si_{15}H_{20}$ (fig. 2) and $Si_{21}H_{28}$ (fig. 3), the first cluster represents the surface in the direction of adjacent dimers and the second cluster is used to imitate the surface in the perpendicular direction (we use different clusters to investigate the possible sites of Cu on Si surface). Initially all Si atoms are located at the bulk lattice sites given by diamond structure with a lattice constant of 5.43 Angstrom. All dangling bonds of the silicons are saturated with

1

hydrogen atoms (the H atoms are used to terminate the bonds of the Si atoms). The first step in structure relaxation was to op- timize the positions of the terminating hydrogens, with the silicon coordinates kept fixed at their bulk values. In the second step we fixed Si atoms of the forth layer (and the correspondind H atoms), we also fixed the positions of the H atoms of the third and second layers. The positions of the topmost three Si layers and other H atoms were optimized. The calculations were performed using the Firefly quantum chemical package [11], which is partially based on the GAMESS [12] source code. It should be noted that basis set used in ab-initio molecular-orbital calculations is compromise between accuracy and computational cost. We used density functional theory with B3LYP functional and 6-31G** basis set for the hydrogen, silicon and copper atoms. The obtained clusters are shown in Figs. 1,2,3.



Figure 1. Cluster Si_9H_{14} .



Figure 2. Cluster $Si_{15}H_{20}$

In the next step we considered the adsorption of an initially neutral copper atom on these clusters. When we investigated the adsorption



Figure 3. Cluster Si₂₁H₂₈

of copper, the Si atoms and surface hydrogen atoms were allowed to relax, while all other atoms were kept frozen (we also keept frozen the coordinates of the Si atoms of the fourth layer). To examine the stability of adsorption at different sites, we calculate the adsorption energy

$$E_a = E(Cu + Si_{cluster}) - E(Cu) - E(Si_{cluster})$$
(2.1)

The negative value of the adsorption energy indicates possibilities of adsorption.

In Figs .4,6,8 we have shown the possible sites for Cu adsorption and electron density plots (the last were obtained using macmolph program [13]). In the case A copper adatom has strong bonds with two surface hydrogen atoms and two silicon top layer atoms, while in the case of the adsorption at B and C sites Cu forms bonds with silicon atoms.

The adsorption energies are as follows

$$E_a(A) = -1.76eV$$

 $E_a(B) = -1.7eV$
 $E_a(C) = -1.92eV.$ (2.2)

All found sites are potential sites for Cu adsorption. The site C has the lowest adsorption energy. Adsorption site which is similar to our site C was considered in [6, 7] in the framework of the plane-wave implementation of density-functional theory. It was shown that this site has the lowest energy between the adsorption site energies on the surface (this conclusion is similar to our result), but the value of the adsorption energy in [7] was larger (-3.1 eV in [7] and -1.92 eV in our work). On the other hand, ab-initio investigation of the Cu adsorption on the H-terminated silicon (111) surface ([8]) revealed that the highest value of the adsorption for the desorption.

tion energy is -1.44 eV and they did not obtain such large values of adsorption energy as that obtained in [6,7].

An analysis of the molecular orbitals shows that a new singly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) appears (in comparison with Si-clusters without Cu), this orbital lies between HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) and LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) of the initial Si-cluster without Cu.



Figure 4. Cluster Si₉H₁₄Cu (adsorption site A).



Figure 5. Electron density (adsorption site A).

For all surface sites, the height of the adatom above the Si cluster was varied, the minimum of the system energy yields the adsorption energy. These curves are shown in Figs. 10,11,12. The distance in these figures is the distance between Cu atom and the fourth layer of Si atoms (as was noted above we fixed the coordinates of the fourth layer Si atoms). As we can see from these figures, the potential well at the B and C sites



Figure 6. Clusters $Si_{15}H_{20}Cu$ (adsorption site B).



Figure 7. Electron density (adsorption site B).



Figure 8. Clusters Si₂₁H₂₈Cu (adsorption site C).



Figure 9. Electron density (adsorption site C).

is enough wide in comparison with the case of the adsorption at the site A. There is no activation energy for the adsorption of copper.



Figure 10. Energy of the system $\rm Si_9H_{14}+Cu$ vs. distance between Cu and the fourth Si atom layer.

Table 1 lists the nearest surface neighbor adatom bond lenghts for all possible sites of adsorption considered in this study. At adsorption of Cu



Figure 11. Energy of the system ${\rm Si}_{15}{\rm H}_{20}+{\rm Cu}$ vs. distance between Cu and the fourth Si atom layer.



Figure 12. Energy of the system $Si_{21}H_{28}+Cu$ vs. distance between Cu and the fourth Si atom layer.

some reconstruction of the Si surface takes place. The bond lengh of the dimer gets longer in the cases B and C and it gets a little shorter in the case A. We have also analyzed the charge distribution using Mulliken population analysis (see Table 2), we present the charges of the Si atoms

Cluster	$(Cu-Si_{top})$	$(\mathrm{Cu-H_{top}})$	$(Si_{top}-Si_{top})$	$(Si_{top}-H_{top})$
			(dimer)	
$\rm Si_9H_{14}+Cu$	2.284	1.624	2.484[2.494]	1.654 [1.495]
$\mathrm{Si}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{+Cu}$	2.458	2.786	2.513[2.485]	1.498 [1.495]
$\mathrm{Si}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{28}\mathrm{+Cu}$	2.812	3.1	2.515[2.479]	1.492 [1.495]

Table 1. Bond lenght (Angstroms). In square brackets bond lenghts for free clusters are presented.

which interact with Cu. In all cases Cu atom gained negative charge (in the case A the magnitude of this charge is very small). The surface Si atoms of the first (top) layer gain positive charge (site B and C), while in the case of the site A these atoms gain negative charge (in the last case this negative charge is compensated by the positive charge gained by the second layer atoms). The fourth layer atoms almost does not change their charge in the A and B cases.

Cluster	Atom	Charge
	Cu	-0.027 [0]
	$\mathrm{Si_{top}}$	-0.07 [-0.017]
$\rm Si_9H_{14}+Cu$	$\mathrm{H_{top}}$	-0.08 [-0.03]
	$\rm Si_{second \ layer}$	$0.169 \ [0.128]$
	$\rm Si_{third \ layer}$	-0.005 [-0.012]
	$\rm Si_{fourth\ layer}$	$0.108\ [0.103]$
	Cu	-0.187 [0]
	$\mathrm{Si_{top}}$	$0.043 \ [0.007]$
$\rm Si_{15}H_{20}+Cu$	$\mathrm{H_{top}}$	-0.033 [-0.035]
	$\rm Si_{second \ layer}$	$0.07 \ [0.074]$
	$\rm Si_{third \ layer}$	-0.074 [-0.095]
	$\rm Si_{fourth\ layer}$	$0.131 \ [0.13]$
	Cu	-0.216 [0]
	$\mathrm{Si_{top}}$	$0.053 \ [0.017]$
$\mathrm{Si}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{28}\mathrm{+Cu}$	$\mathrm{H_{top}}$	-0.025 [-0.037]
	$\rm Si_{second \ layer}$	$0.052 \ [0.045]$
	$\rm Si_{third \ layer}$	$0.075 \ [0.084]$
	$\rm Si_{fourth\ layer}$	0.174 [0.13]

Table 2. Atomic charge distribution. In square brackets atomic charges for free clusters are presented.

3. Conclusions

The effects of Cu adsorption on the Si(001) surface have been investigated using density functional theory and cluster approach. It was observed that for the three sites of high symmetry considered, all sites are candidates for adsorption of copper. Mulliken population analysis indicates that in all cases the Cu adatom gained negative charge. Generally the first or the second Si layer loses charge. In general, we have shown that copper can adsorb strongly to the H-terminated silicon surface and that the adsorption energy is dependent on the local bonding environment.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Project number 35/10-H.

References

- 1. B. Paulus Surf. Sci. 408 195 (1998)
- Y. Jung, Y. Shao, M.S.Gordon, D.J.Doren, M.Head-Gordon Journal of Chem. Phys. 119 10917 2003
- 3. Z. Jing and J.L. Whitten Phys. Rev. B 46, 9544 1992
- 4. W. Brenig and E. Pehlke Progress in Surface Science 83, 263 2008
- T.I. Gorbanyuk, A.A. Evtukh, V.G. Litovchenko, V.S. Solntsev Physica E 38 (2007) 211-214
- 6. A. Rodriguez-Prieto and D. R. Bowler Phys. Rev. B 80, 155426 2009
- A. Rodriguez-Prieto and D. R. Bowler Phys. Rev. B 81, 129902(E) 2010
- A. S. Foster, M. A. Gosalvez, T. Hynninen, R. M. Nieminen, and K. Sato Phys. Rev. B 76, 075315 2007
- E.Penev, P.Kratzer, M.Scheffler Journal Chemical Physics 110, 3986, 1999
- S.B.Healy, C.Filippi, P.Kratzer, E.Penev, M.Scheffler Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 016105, 2001
- 11. Alex A. Granovsky, Firefly version 7.1.G, www. http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/firefly/index.html
- 12. Schmidt M.W., Baldridge K.K., Boatz J.A. et al. J.Comput.Chem. 1993. Vol. 14, No. 11. P. 1347-1363
- Bode, B. M. and Gordon, M. S. J. Mol. Graphics Mod., 16, 1998, 133-138.